

Create a Healthier Retail Food Environment in the 2023 Farm Bill

The Center for Science in the Public Interest (CSPI) urges the following recommendations be implemented in the 2023 Farm Bill to mitigate hunger in the U.S., while simultaneously improving nutrition security.

The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is a powerful food safety net program and has many positive public health impacts. The program helps to reduce poverty, food insecurity, health care expenditures, and the risk of chronic conditions later in life.¹ More than 250,000 retailers participate in SNAP across the country,² with SNAP sales representing approximately nine percent of grocery sales industry-wide.³ Thus, SNAP retailers and SNAP sales are a critical component of the U.S. retail food environment and represent a large-scale opportunity to increase healthy food access.

Stocking standards

Recommendation: The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) should explore ways to further improve retail environments to include stronger stocking standards to increase availability of foods in-store and online that align with the latest Dietary Guidelines for Americans.

- SNAP participants have choices when it comes to where to shop but may lack choice when it comes to the foods available to them.
 - The SNAP retailer authorization process can encourage retailers to stock and promote nutritious foods that meet people's needs, yet there are only minimal standards for the products that retailers must offer (called stocking standards).⁴
 - More than half of SNAP-authorized retailers are smaller grocery and convenience stores⁵ that have limited fruits and vegetable, whole grain rich, and dairy products compared to larger retailers.⁶,⁷
 - This limited healthy food availability disproportionately impacts African American and Native American SNAP participants as they spend more of their SNAP benefit at smaller retailers relative to White SNAP participants.⁸
- Since 2014, there have been multiple attempts to update SNAP stocking standards.
 - The 2014 Farm Bill required retailers to stock an increased variety of staple foods and an increased number of perishable options.⁹

- In 2016, USDA proposed a rule that codified the 2014 standards and added a "depth of stock" requirement that retailers carry at least six units of each variety of staple foods, 10 however the 2016 final rule watered down these updates. 11
- Stronger stocking standards are feasible, evidence based, and supported by both SNAP participants and issue area experts.
 - Nearly 80% of SNAP benefits are spent at large retailers where stocking standards are easily met.¹²
 - Smaller stores are capable of stocking healthier products, especially when coupled with technical support and incentives to increase consumer demand for these products.¹³
 - Minimum stocking standards for the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), including the 2009 WIC package reformulation, increased the availability and variety of healthy foods in convenience and nonchain grocery stores.¹⁴
 - A recent of study of North Carolina SNAP participants found high levels of support for increasing produce availability at small retailers.¹⁵
 - CSPI, the Bipartisan Policy Center, and leading experts in the field all believe now is the time to finally strengthen stocking standards.^{16, 17, 18}

Online SNAP retailers

Recommendation: Improve access to SNAP grocery delivery by reimbursing direct market operations and smaller, independent retailers for SNAP delivery and service fees, while requiring larger retailers and their e-commerce vendors to waive delivery and service fees for SNAP orders, so that fees are not passed on to SNAP participants wherever they shop.

- Online SNAP has grown rapidly but remains inaccessible to many SNAP participants.
 - Online grocery shopping has increased¹⁹ and is predicted to surpass \$260 billion, 20% of the grocery market, by 2026.²⁰
 - Online ordering and grocery delivery may improve food access because transportation is often cited as a major barrier by people experiencing food insecurity, especially those who are elderly,²¹ have disabilities,²² or live in food deserts.²³
 - The 2014 Farm Bill authorized the SNAP Online Purchasing Pilot to allow retailers to accept SNAP benefits for online transactions to be picked up at the store or for delivery.²⁴

- USDA rapidly expanded the pilot in response to the COVID-19 pandemic with 49 states and Washington, DC now participating, with at least one company authorized for online SNAP in each state.²⁵ In 2021, 4% of SNAP sales were online.²⁶
- Yet online SNAP purchasing and delivery services are not consistently available, especially in rural areas.²⁷
- Even if available, online SNAP remains inaccessible to many due to delivery and service fees charged for online orders.²⁸
- USDA can increase online SNAP accessibility by alleviating service and delivery fee financial burden from SNAP participants.
 - In 2022, USDA invested in expanding online SNAP access through the SNAP EBT Modernization Technical Assistance Center that will increase and diversify retailer participation through technology and systems support needed for SNAP online shopping.²⁹
 - The 2023 Farm Bill should build upon these efforts to increase online SNAP participation by addressing the financial barriers SNAP participants face.
 USDA should reimburse service and delivery fees for smaller, independent retailers and require larger retailers to waive fees.

Recommendation: Require online SNAP authorized retailers to adhere to a granular set of privacy safeguards for limiting what kinds of data can be collected from individuals and how that information can be used and shared with third parties. USDA should establish a uniform privacy policy with clearly stated specific data and consumer protections.

- Online shopping should be safe for SNAP participants but currently is not.
 - Data is frequently collected from online shoppers to promote products and target specific marketing.³⁰
 - This puts SNAP participants at risk of potentially experiencing privacy violations as well as targeted marketing of products that may be less nutritionally dense.³¹
- CSPI supports Center for Digital Democracy's recommendation that USDA work
 with state agencies, industry, SNAP participants, and other stakeholders to develop
 best practices and policies for protecting data and privacy as online SNAP is
 expanded.³²

For more than 50 years, the Center for Science in the Public Interest has been an influential force in the fight for a better food system. CSPI leverages our unique expertise to support passing policies that increase access to nutritious food, support healthy food and beverage choices, and ensure a healthy diet for all consumers.

For more information, please contact the Center for Science in the Public Interest at policy@cspinet.org.

August 2023

¹ Bleich S, et al. Strengthening the Public Health Impacts of SNAP: Key Opportunities for the Next Farm Bill. Healthy Eating Research. 2021. https://healthyeatingresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/her-snap-farm-bill-3.pdf. Accessed August

² Fiscal Year 2021 Year End Summary, U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service, 2022, https://fns- $\underline{prod.azureedge.us/sites/default/files/resource-files/2021-snap-retailer-management-year-end-summary.pdf.\ Accessed\ August 3, 2022.$

³ Ms. Kathy Hanna on behalf of the Food Marketing Institute speaking at The Past, Present, and Future of SNAP: The Retailer Perspective, on May 12, 2016, to the House Agriculture Committee. https://republicans-agriculture.house.gov/uploadedfiles/hanna_testimony.pdf

⁴ Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP): Is My Store Eligible? U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service. https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer/eligible. Accessed August 3, 2022.

⁵ Fiscal Year 2021 Year End Summary. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service. 2022.

⁶ Caspi CE, et al. Differences in healthy food supply and stocking practices between small grocery stores, gas-marts, pharmacies and dollar stores. Public Health Nutr. 2016;19(3):540-7.

⁷ Glanz K, et al. Nutrition Environment Measures Survey in stores (NEMS-S): development and evaluation. Am J Prev Med. 2007;32(4):282-

⁸ Castner L, et al. Benefit Redemption Patterns in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program in Fiscal Year 2017 Final Report. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service. 2020.

⁹ Agricultural Act of 2014. P.L. 113-79. § 4002, 128 Stat. 782, 134.

¹⁰ 7 C.F.R. §271 and 278. Proposed Rule: Enhancing Retailer Standards in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (Snap).

¹¹ 7 C.F.R. §271 and 278. Enhancing Retailer Standards in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (Snap)

¹² Fiscal Year 2021 Year End Summary. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service. 2022.

¹³ Karpyn A, et al. Examining the Feasibility of Healthy Minimum Stocking Standards for Small Food Stores. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2018;118(9):1655-1663.

¹⁴ Andreyeva T, et al. Positive influence of the revised Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children food packages on access to healthy foods. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2012;112(6):850-8.

¹⁵ Ramos, C, et al. Recommendations for a Healthy Eating SNAP Pilot in North Carolina. Center for Science in the Public Interest. June 2021. https://www.cspinet.org/sites/default/files/NC%20Convening%20Report-%20Final-%20June%202021.pdf.

¹⁶ Bipartisan Policy Center. *Leading with Nutrition: Leveraging Federal Programs for Better Health.* 2018.

https://bipartisanpolicy.org/download/?file=/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/BPC-Health-Leading-With-Nutrition.pdf. Accessed August 3, 2022.

¹⁷ National Commission on Hunger. Freedom from Hunger: An Achievable Goal for the United States of America. 2015. https://cybercemetery.unt.edu/archive/hungercommission/20151216222324/https://hungercommission.rti.org/Portals/0/SiteHtml/Activities/Final Report/Hunger_Commission_Final_Report.pdf. Accessed August 3, 2022.

¹⁸ Bleich S, et al. Strengthening the Public Health Impacts of SNAP: Key Opportunities for the Next Farm Bill.

¹⁹ Acosta. COVID-19 shopper Insights: Which habits are sticking? 2021. https://www.acosta.com/news/new-acosta-report-details-the-evolvingimpact-of-covid-19-on-consumer-behavior. Accessed August 22, 2022.

²⁰ Mercatus. eGrocery transformed: Market projections and insight into online grocery's elevated future. 2021. https://info.mercatus.com/online-grocery-shopper-consumer-behavior. Accessed August 22, 2022.

²¹ Shieh JA, et al. Perceived neighborhood-level drivers of food insecurity among aging women in the United States: a qualitative study. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2021;121(5):844-853.

²² Huang DL, et al. Food access patterns and barriers among midlife and older adults with mobility disabilities. J Aging Research. 2012;2012.

²³ Crowe J, et al. Barriers to food security and community stress in an urban food desert. *Urban Sci.* 2018;2(46):1-16.

²⁴ Agricultural Act of 2014. P.L. 113-79. § 4002, 128 Stat. 782, 134.

²⁵ SNAP Online Purchasing Pilot. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service. https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/onlinepurchasing-pilot. Accessed August 3, 2022.
²⁶ Fiscal Year 2021 Year End Summary. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service. 2022.

²⁷ Brandt EJ, et al. Availability of Grocery Delivery to Food Deserts in States Participating in the Online Purchase Pilot. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(12):e1916444.

²⁸ Rogus S, et al. Online Grocery Shopping Knowledge, Attitudes, and Behaviors Among SNAP Participants. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2020;52(5):539-545.

²⁹ USDA to Invest in Expanding SNAP Online Shopping. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service. July 7, 2022. https://www.fns.usda.gov/news-item/fns-0008.22.

³⁰ Chester J, Kopp K, Montgomery K. Does Buying Groceries Online Put Snap Participants at Risk? How to Protect Health, Privacy, and Equity. Center for Digital Democracy. 2020. http://www.democraticmedia.org/sites/default/files/field/public-files/2020/cdd_snap_report_ff_0.pdf. Accessed August 3, 2022.

³¹ Chester et al., 2020.

³² Chester et al., 2020.