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On June 19, 2003, the Center for Science in the Public Interest (CSPI) issued “Planting Trouble: Are Farmers Squandering Bt Corn Technology?”1 That report presented data from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) that found significant noncompliance by farmers in Iowa (IA), Minnesota (MN) and Nebraska (NE) with the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) refuge requirements for corn engineered with genes from the Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) bacterium. To ensure insect susceptibility and to protect the environment, EPA requires Bt corn farmers to: (1) plant a 20 percent non-Bt corn refuge in the Corn-Belt states, and (2) plant the refuge within one-half mile of the Bt corn.

On July 11, 2003, USDA’s National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) released its report, “Corn and Biotechnology Special Analysis.”2 That report provides additional data relevant to farmer compliance with EPA’s requirement that Bt corn farmers plant not more than 80 percent of their corn crop with Bt corn varieties. In particular, that report expanded the analysis NASS performed for CSPI in two ways: (1) it provides data on Bt corn grown on farms in ten Midwestern states (Illinois, Indiana, IA, Kansas, Michigan, MN, NE, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin) that represented 77 percent of all US corn and 86 percent of all Bt corn planted in 2002; and (2) it provides data on how many acres of Bt corn were grown in those states without the required 20 percent refuge. Thus, the new data significantly increase the sample size and provide information on the acres of noncompliant corn.

Consistent with Planting Trouble, the new NASS data finds 21 percent of all farms growing Bt corn in those ten Midwestern states (or 19,710 farms) violated EPA’s requirement in 2002 by planting refuges smaller than the required 20 percent (Table 1). Individual state compliance rates ranged from 11 percent noncompliance in Indiana to 46 percent noncompliance in Missouri (Table 1).3 In addition, 15 percent of all Bt corn farms (14,020) planted 100 percent of their corn with Bt varieties (i.e., planting no refuge at all) (Table 1), while almost 28% of small Bt-corn farms (less than 200 acres of corn) planted no refuge (Table 2).4 Those high

1 The report can be found at http://www.cspinet.org/new/pdf/bt_corn_report.pdf.

2 The NASS report can be found at http://www.usda.gov/nass/pubs/biocorn.htm.

3 With one exception (South Dakota), states with more Bt corn farms had better compliance rates than states with fewer Bt corn farms. In the states with the two worst compliance rates (Missouri and Ohio), only a small percentage of their farms grew Bt varieties.

4 Planting Trouble reported that for IA, MN, and NE, there was 19 percent noncompliance with EPA’s 20 percent refuge requirement and that 13 percent of all farms planted no refuge at all (23 percent for small farms).
noncompliance levels throughout the Midwest strongly suggest that the biotechnology industry’s education campaign has been unsuccessful and that other actions (such as inspections and enforcement with penalties) are essential to increasing compliance rates.\(^5\)

The new NASS data also analyzed how much \(Bt\) corn was grown by farms that did not comply with the refuge requirements. NASS found that 4.2 million acres (or 26 percent) of \(Bt\) corn was grown without the proper 20 percent refuge, and that 2.5 million of those acres (or 15 percent of \(Bt\) corn) had no refuge at all (Table 3). In other words, there was approximately 6600 square miles (about two-thirds the size of Maryland) of noncompliant \(Bt\) corn and approximately 3,900 square miles (about twice the size of Delaware) of \(Bt\) corn without any refuge. Thus, the NASS data finds a higher percentage of noncompliant acres (26 percent) than farms (21 percent).

In addition, 80 percent of the noncompliant acres (3.3 million) were planted by large corn farms (Table 4). Noncompliance on large corn farms is troublesome because it is likely to lead to large continuous areas without the appropriate refuge, increasing the likelihood of developing resistance.

Finally, the noncompliant \(Bt\) corn acreage in the different states ranged from a low of 15 percent in Indiana to a high of 56 percent in Ohio (Table 3). Those levels of noncompliant \(Bt\) corn acres, especially if concentrated geographically, could threaten the long term effectiveness of \(Bt\) corn products.

**Conclusion**

The new NASS data confirm that noncompliance with EPA’s refuge obligations is rampant throughout Midwestern states. The fact that almost 20,000 farms violated the easier of two refuge obligations should be a wake-up call to EPA that its regulatory system is not working.\(^6\) In addition, with over four million acres of corn planted in the Midwest without an adequate refuge, there is an increased likelihood that resistant insects will develop. The noncompliant acreage data are alarming because they suggest that there could be 1.8 million three-quarter acre plots of \(Bt\) corn in the Midwest that are breeding grounds for resistant insects

\(^5\) See *Planting Trouble* for recommendations and actions that EPA and the biotechnology industry should take to assess compliance rates and bring farms into compliance.

\(^6\) The NASS data only addresses the refuge “size” requirement. For a farm to be in compliance with EPA’s refuge requirements, the refuge must also satisfy EPA’s “proximity” requirement. Thus, the NASS data underestimates noncompliance since both requirements must be met to have a compliant refuge. There are no NASS data that can determine whether farms with a 20 percent refuge have planted their refuge within a half mile of the \(Bt\) corn, but it is highly unlikely that the compliance rate with that obligation is 100 percent. The biotechnology industry telephone survey found that there are farms that plant the proper size refuge do not comply with the “proximity” requirement.
because they have no refuge. Thus, in addition to working to decrease noncompliant acreage, EPA must ensure that there is adequate monitoring for resistance in local areas with high concentrations of Bt corn lacking adequate refugia. The new NASS data should spur EPA to rethink how its policies can better achieve its goal – the sustainable and environmentally safe use of Bt-corn technology.

\[ \text{If one divides the noncompliant large farms with no refuge in Table 2 (3,720) into the noncompliant no-refuge large farm acres found in Table 4 (1,813,000), one finds that the average noncompliant farm grew 487 acres of Bt corn or about three-quarters of a square mile.} \]
Percentage of Bt Corn on Bt Corn Farms in Selected States (2002)

Total Bt Corn = 16.2 million

States are: IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, NE, OH, SD, and WI

Source: NASS “Corn and Biotechnology Special Analysis” July 11, 2003
Table 1: Percentage of Corn Acreage Devoted to Bt Corn (Among Farms that Planted Bt Corn in 2002)\textsuperscript{1,2,3}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Farms Planting Bt Corn</th>
<th>Farms with 0.1-800 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Farms with 80.1-99.9 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Farms with 100 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Non Compliance with 20% Refuge Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>16,310</td>
<td>14,010</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>3,390</td>
<td>2,960</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>25,030</td>
<td>20,470</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>4,230</td>
<td>2,830</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>2,650</td>
<td>1,440</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>12,920</td>
<td>10,560</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>12,960</td>
<td>9,970</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>1,760</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>7,530</td>
<td>5,010</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>5,850</td>
<td>4,810</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Sts</td>
<td>93,530</td>
<td>73,820</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5,690</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


* Farms not in compliance with EPA 20% refuge requirements are identified in bold face.

Table 2: Large and Small Bt Corn Farms by Percentage of Acres Planted to Bt Varieties, Selected States, 2002\textsuperscript{1,2,3}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acres of Corn Crown on Farm</th>
<th>All Farms Reporting Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Farms with 0.1-80.0 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Farms with 80.1-99.9 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Farms with 100 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Non Compliance with 20% Refuge Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 or more</td>
<td>56,150</td>
<td>49,060</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3,370</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 200</td>
<td>37,380</td>
<td>24,760</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2,320</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


* Farms not in compliance with EPA 20% refuge requirements are identified in bold face.

\textsuperscript{1} Bt corn refers to all varieties which contain the Bt gene including stacked gene varieties.

\textsuperscript{2} Number of farms rounded to the nearest 10. Percent may not add due to rounding.

\textsuperscript{3} These 10 States have consistent refuge requirements.
Table 3: Bt Corn Acres by Percent of Acres Planted to Bt Varieties, Selected States, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Bt Acres on Farms with 0.1 - 80.0 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Bt Acres on Farms with 80.1 - 99.9 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Bt Acres on Farms with 100 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Non Compliance with 20% Refuge Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000 Acres</td>
<td>1,000 Acres</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>1,000 Acres</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>2,128</td>
<td>1,809</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>4,182</td>
<td>3,196</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>2,376</td>
<td>1,770</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>3,192</td>
<td>2,324</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1,892</td>
<td>1,224</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Sts</td>
<td>16,152</td>
<td>11,941</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1,742</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


* Farms not in compliance with EPA 20% refuge requirements are identified in bold face.

Table 4: Bt Corn Acres on Large and Small Farms by Percent of Acres Planted to Bt Varieties, Selected States, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acres of Corn Grown on Farm</th>
<th>Total Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Bt Acres on Farms with 0.1 - 80.0 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Bt Acres on Farms with 80.1 - 99.9 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Bt Acres on Farms with 100 Percent Bt Acreage Planted</th>
<th>Non Compliance with 20% Refuge Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000 Acres</td>
<td>1,000 Acres</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>1,000 Acres</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 or more</td>
<td>14,147</td>
<td>10,787</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1,547</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 200</td>
<td>2,005</td>
<td>1,154</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


* Farms not in compliance with EPA 20% refuge requirements are identified in bold face.

1 Bt corn refers to all varieties which contain the Bt gene including stacked gene varieties.
2 Number of farms rounded to the nearest 10. Percents may not add due to rounding.
3 These 10 States have consistent refuge requirements.